



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,811	11/25/2003	Charles Hensley	33205.0217	8179

7590 07/13/2010
Cynthia L. Pillote
Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.
One Arizona Center
400 East Van Buren
Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202

EXAMINER

PAK, JOHN D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1616

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/13/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/722,811	Applicant(s) HENSLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner John Pak	Art Unit 1616	

All Participants:

(1) John Pak.

(2) Cynthia Pillote.

Date of Interview: 9 July 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: After-Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
All

Claims discussed:
All

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim amendments to place this case in condition for allowance were discussed. Ms. Pillote stated that all claim-recited amounts for carriers and thickeners are based on the total composition. Ms. Pillote will attempt to find appropriate claim language to make this clear. Ms. Pillote stated that the terminal disclaimer issue will be addressed. The Examiner stated that upon further review (1) all recitation of "about" appears to be new matter, (2) 99.8 wt% is new matter so exact number which finds support should be used, i.e. 100 - 0.185, and (3) 75-99% pure water needes to be checked for new matter. The Examiner stated that a further search update and final review would be needed relative to the amended claims at the time of the next Office action.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John Pak/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)